## Deep Earth and Recent Developments in Mineral Physics

Jay D. Bass<sup>1</sup> and John B. Parise<sup>2</sup>

DOI: 10.2113/GSELEMENTS.4.3.157

ery few rocks on the Earth's surface come from below the crust. In fact, most of Earth's interior is unsampled, at least in the sense that we do not have rock samples from it. So how do we know what is down there? Part of the answer comes from laboratory and computer experiments that try to recreate the enormous pressure-temperature conditions in the deep Earth and to measure the properties of minerals under these conditions. This is the realm of high-pressure mineral physics and chemistry. By comparing mineral properties at high pressures and temperatures with geophysical observations of seismic velocities and density at depth, we get insight into the mineralogy, composition, temperature, and deformation within Earth's interior, from the top of the mantle to the center of the planet.

KEYWORDS: Earth's interior, mineral physics, mantle, core, high pressure

157

#### **INTRODUCTION**

As far as we can tell from recorded history, there has always been interest and curiosity about the interior of the Earth. Very early on, religion gave us the notion of a fiery, superheated hell at depth, a view that in fact may not be too far from the truth. Various science fiction accounts, such as Jules Verne's *Journey to the Center of the Earth* and the recent movie *The Core*, show, if nothing else, our fascination for what lies below our feet. If one lives close to a volcano or in a seismically active area, concerns about the deep Earth take on a real and practical significance. Due to the difficulty of understanding such natural phenomena, it is perhaps not surprising that people often turned to religion or folklore to explain their observations and calm their fears.

Since the formulation of plate tectonic theory, it has become increasingly clear that nearly all geologic activity at the surface, e.g. mountain building, earthquakes, volcanism, and even the composition of the atmosphere, is intimately related to processes that occur at depth. Indeed, the motion of lithospheric plates—the central element of plate tectonic theory—involves the density difference between cold, dense lithospheric slabs and hotter mantle beneath, as well as the ease with which mantle material flows. Thus, there has been increasing interest in understanding the state of the Earth's interior, its dynamics, and its evolution through time. In short, in order to understand how the Earth system works, we need to understand the mantle and core. What are they made of? What are their thermal structures? What is the nature of heat and mass transfer within and between them?

These are obviously difficult questions, because most of the Earth is inaccessible by drilling or other direct means. However, it turns out that a great deal of relevant information has been accumulating over the past several hundred years. By 1800, the density of the Earth was known to be about 5.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, very close to the currently accepted value of 5.52 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Because this density is greater than the value for surface rocks (~2.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), we

can immediately conclude that the bulk of Earth's interior is much denser than rocks at the surface and, therefore, different from what we see around us. In the early part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, it was clear from the Earth's moment of inertia (which tells us how the internal mass is distributed) that mass is concentrated toward the center. From these facts, a good case was made in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century for an Earth model with a very dense metallic core surrounded by a mantle of less dense rocks. Thus, we can arrive at some profound conclusions knowing just two numbers about the Earth and without having a sample from the interior to guide us.

The major limitation to using just the mass and moment of inertia of the Earth is that no unique solution for the internal structure can be derived from this information. Far more data are now at our disposal, in particular from the field of seismology, and a more detailed picture of Earth's interior has emerged (FIG. 1). Earthquakes generate two types of sound waves, which pass through the body of the Earth to distant locations: primary, or compressional, P-waves (which are faster and arrive first at a distant seismometer), and secondary, or shear, S-waves (which are slower and arrive after the P-waves). Seismological studies of when these waves arrive at different places on the globe reveal the velocity variation of P-waves and S-waves with depth. That is, seismology is one of the few ways we can "see" the interior of the Earth (another way is through gravity, which gives density at depth, but with relatively low depth resolution). Because the seismic velocity is well determined in any depth interval, we say that seismology has high spatial resolution, and this is a powerful source of information. This greatly reduces the ambiguity in Earth structure. But in order to interpret the information from seismology in terms of parameters like composition, temperature, flow, etc., we need additional information on the properties of Earth materials at high temperatures and pressures, through either laboratory experiments or computer simulations. The field of highpressure mineral physics provides this information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Geology Department, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 1301 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA E-mail: jaybass@uiuc.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Geosciences and Chemistry Department Mineral Physics Institute, Stony Brook University Stony Brook, NY 11794-2100, USA E-mail: jparise@notes.cc.sunysb.edu



**FIGURE 1** (A) Major phases present in the mantle at all depths, and their approximate proportions. (B) One-dimensional seismic preliminary reference Earth model (PREM) of Dziewonski and Anderson (1981). The Moho, at 6–40 km depth, is too shallow and thin to be seen in this figure. The original PREM model has a discontinuity at 670 km depth, but more-recent studies put it at 660 km; the latter value is used in this figure and throughout the text. Thin lines correlate selected discontinuities in A and B. (C) Interior of the Earth and its rela-

This issue focuses on experiments at high pressure and temperature and what they tell us about Earth's deep interior, when combined with seismology data. In such experiments, the physical and chemical properties of materials are measured at extreme pressure-temperature conditions that are as close as possible to those inside the Earth (FIG. 2). As noted throughout this issue, there is a natural and intimate connection between the disciplines of mineral physics and seismology. Part of this connection comes through the elastic properties of minerals, which determine the velocities of seismic waves throughout the Earth. One of the very earliest efforts to exploit this relationship was by Williamson and Adams (1923), who used the available seismic data and elasticity measurements on rocks and minerals to calculate a density distribution for the Earth. They also proposed a compositional model for the interior that bears a remarkable similarity to present-day models.

Sources of information on the Earth's interior include geochemical and petrological studies of meteorites, basalts, and mantle rocks, as well as results from the fields of geodesy, geodynamics, and cosmochemistry, to name a few. In the limited space of this issue, it would be impossible to cover all of these topics. Even our attempt to focus the issue on results provided by high-pressure mineral-physics data and seismology is necessarily just an introduction. Space does not allow a comprehensive discussion of all techniques and interpretations arising from the increasingly rich datasets these interconnected disciplines provide. Indeed, an important part of the field of mineral physics is the theoretical calculation of mineral properties, often under pressure-temperature conditions that cannot be attained experimentally. Theoretical advances and the ever-increasing speed of computers make computational mineral physics indispensable for studying planetary interiors. We regret that this issue of Elements lacks an article on this topic, and we hope one will appear in a later issue. However, several recent theoretical results related to the D" layer of the mantle are referred to in the article by Hirose and Lay (2008 this issue).

#### **GENERAL STRUCTURE OF THE EARTH**

The major divisions of the Earth-crust, mantle, and coreare defined seismologically (FIG. 1). At shallow depths from about 6 km beneath ocean basins and 30-50 km beneath continents (with a maximum of ~70 km beneath Tibet), the speeds of P-waves and S-waves jump dramatically at the Mohorovičić seismic discontinuity (the Moho), which separates the crust and mantle. Beneath the mantle is the liquid outer core, a low-velocity zone where wave speeds drop suddenly (going to zero for S-waves) at the core-mantle boundary. At the boundary with the solid inner core, seismic velocities rise again. This is all that's needed to infer Earth's basic layered structure. Far more observations go into the 1-dimensional whole-Earth models, like the preliminary reference Earth model, PREM (Dziewonski and Anderson 1981) shown in FIGURE 1, and a slightly more complex Earth structure is suggested. We can describe the stratigraphy of the mantle as follows:

(1) An upper mantle, down to ~660 km depth. This includes a "transition zone," where velocities increase extremely rapidly with depth (that is, velocity gradients are high). The

tion to (A) and (B), with seismically fast (bluish) and slow (reddish) regions of the mantle compared with the PREM model (Woodhouse and Dziewonski 1989). Opx: low-Ca pyroxene (enstatite); Cpx: high-Ca pyroxene (diopside); Gt: garnet; Ol: olivine;  $\beta$ :  $\beta$ -(Mg,Fe)\_2SiO<sub>4</sub> or wadsleyite;  $\gamma$ :  $\gamma$ -(Mg,Fe)\_2SiO<sub>4</sub> or ringwoodite; Mj-Gt: majorite-garnet solid solution; CMB: core-mantle boundary. The vertical line in the Opx field indicates the transition pressure to a monoclinic form of Opx. FIGURES COURTESY OF A. DZIEWONSKI AND S. SINOGEIKIN

transition zone is now often taken as the shell between the major seismic discontinuities at 410 km and 660 km depth, with the upper mantle ending at 660 km (although slightly high seismic velocity gradients persist to ~900 km).

(2) A lower mantle with the defining characteristic of smoothly and slowly varying velocities, which appear to be consistent with the compression of a uniform material. The lower mantle is usually taken as starting at the 660 km discontinuity. In early models, the lower mantle was referred to as layer D, at the bottom of which is a thin layer of anomalous seismic structure, labeled the D" layer in Figure 1B.

#### Minerals and Other Phases Present at Depth

One of the few mantle rocks found at the surface is kimberlite, which contains abundant olivine and, in some cases, xenoliths of peridotite and eclogite. The presence of diamonds and other high-pressure indicator minerals has led to the general view that kimberlites tap upper mantle sources to about 200 km depth (a very few of them may tap deeper sources). These rocks suggest an olivine-rich uppermost mantle, at least under cratons, with lesser amounts of eclogite. Thus, early studies of mantle mineralogy focused on the mineral olivine, (Mg,Fe)<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. Among the more important studies were those by Katsura and Ito (1989) and Akaogi et al. (1989), who showed that magnesium-rich olivine transforms into a high-pressure phase called wadsleyite ( $\beta$ -phase), at a pressure that corresponds well to the seismic discontinuity at 410 km depth. We therefore infer that this olivine to wadsleyite phase transformation is responsible, at least in part, for the jump in velocity at 410 km depth. At a pressure corresponding to ~520 km depth,  $\beta$ -phase transforms into ringwoodite, or γ-(Mg,Fe)<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, with a cubic structure related to the mineral spinel, MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. However, olivine-composition minerals cannot be the only minerals present in mantle rocks if they are to produce mid-ocean ridge basalts (MORB) by partial melting. Accompanying (Mg,Fe)<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> in the upper mantle are a Ca-poor pyroxene (enstatite), a Ca-rich pyroxene (diopside or augite), and an aluminous phase (garnet) (note that spinel, MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, is the aluminous phase at shallower depth). FIGURE 1A shows the major minerals that are likely present at different depths in the mantle. The pyroxenes and garnet react with each other as pressure increases, and by about 450–500 km depth, they form a single solid solution with the garnet structure (majorite-garnet solid solution). The lower mantle is almost certainly dominated by (Mg,Fe)SiO<sub>3</sub> with the perovskite crystal structure, (Mg,Fe)O, and CaSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite. Aluminum in the lower mantle would reside primarily in the (Mg,Fe)SiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite phase. Ironically, although the lower mantle is dominated by perovskite-structured (Mg,Fe)SiO<sub>3</sub>, making it the most abundant phase in the Earth, it is not a mineral because it has not been found in nature. The phase transformations producing (Mg,Fe)SiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite and (Mg,Fe)O are widely thought to contribute to the 660 km discontinuity. Just above the core-mantle boundary, in the D" layer, (Mg,Fe)SiO3 perovskite transforms into the recently discovered "postperovskite" phase, which may explain many of the seismic features of this region. The liquid outer core is likely an Fe-Ni alloy with ~10% of some unknown lighter alloying elements. The solid inner core is likely composed of a nearly pure metallic phase, with smaller amounts of light elements than in the outer core.

Thus the Earth is chemically layered, with a silicic crust, a mafic mantle, and a metallic core. A fundamental but more difficult question is whether seismic discontinuities within the mantle, for example at 410 km and 660 km depth, represent chemical layering on a finer scale, as well as transformations of individual phases into higher-pressure forms. Does the mantle, on average, have a single composition



**FIGURE 2** The temperatures (T) and pressures (P) in the Earth. Pressures at the core-mantle boundary and inner-outer core boundary are shown. At greater pressure (or depth), the uncertainties in temperature increase (shown by the orange shaded region). Different fields show the P-T regions that can be explored using a large-volume press (LVP) or a diamond anvil cell (DAC). Temperatures that can be achieved using resistance or laser heating with the diamond cell are indicated. Below room temperature is the cryogenic region. FIGURE COURTESY OF G. SHEN

because it is well stirred by whole-mantle convection, or is it divided into separate partially isolated layers of different composition? At present, this question is hotly debated and cannot be answered. More detailed and accurate information, from both mineral physics and seismology, will be critical in determining the answer.

#### Seismic Tomography

An exciting development in Earth science over the past couple of decades has been seismic tomography: mapping out the lateral variability in seismic wave speeds throughout the globe (FIG. 3). For example, the cold oceanic lithosphere subducted into the upper mantle is seen as a high-velocity layer, primarily because low temperature causes seismic waves to travel faster. These high velocities and, presumably, the subducting slabs can in some places be tracked for over 1000 km into the mantle (Grand et al. 1997). In this case, seismic results provide information on tectonics, the exchange of material between the surface and the interior, and large-scale mantle flow. However, as we go deeper into the lower mantle, or away from plate boundaries, seismic features do not necessarily have a clear-cut relationship to surface tectonics, and their interpretation is not as intuitive. For example, it is now well established that seismic heterogeneity exists throughout the lower mantle (e.g. Woodhouse and Dziewonski 1989). That is, the velocities at a given depth are different in different parts of the globe. It was thought at first that these differences in velocity were due to differences in temperature only, by analogy with assumptions about upper-mantle heterogeneity. It was enticing to think of seismically slow material as being hot and buoyant, thus driving mantle flow. However, mineral-physics data have shown that the temperatures required to explain lowermantle seismic heterogeneity may be unreasonably high. It is more likely that this heterogeneity is due to a combination of chemical, thermal, and phase-change effects (Trampert et al. 2004). This example illustrates how the results of lab-



FIGURE 3 3-D tomographic structure of the mantle near several subduction zones in the western Pacific and central America. Note the differences in structure and apparent trajectories of subducted slabs in different subduction zones (after Karason and van der Hilst 2000). COURTESY OF ROB VAN DER HILST

oratory high-pressure experiments are needed to interpret the available seismic information. The assumption of seismically fast material being cold (or of slow material being hot) probably does not strictly hold in any part of the mantle. When one considers the extreme seismic heterogeneity of the upper mantle (FIG. 3), showing many small regional (that is, not global) boundaries on a variety of length scales, perhaps the concept of a single average composition for the upper mantle is not at all appropriate.

#### HIGH-PRESSURE MINERAL ELASTICITY AND SYNCHROTRON-BASED STUDIES

One of the keys to refining our understanding of Earth's interior and narrowing the range of acceptable compositional and thermal models is the acquisition of elasticity and phase equilibrium data under conditions closely simulating those in the mantle and core (FIG. 2). This lofty experimental goal is now within reach.

Scientists in the discipline of high-pressure mineral physics studying the Earth's deep interior have recently made an unprecedented number of breakthroughs, some of which are described by Bass, Sinogeikin, and Li (2008 this issue). Many of the experimental studies described in this issue were inconceivable only a decade ago, mainly because of technical limitations. Developments in the area of diamond anvil cells (DAC), coupled with ever-brighter synchrotron X-radiation, now permit the acquisition of X-ray diffraction data at P–T conditions prevailing throughout the planet (FiG. 4). Developments in large-volume high-pressure apparatuses are allowing precise measurements of phase stability, strength, texture, and flow at transition zone and lowermantle pressures for the first time (FiG. 5). Other non-synchrotron-based techniques, particularly in the area of mineral elasticity, have seen similar advances (FIG. 6) and are even being combined with synchrotron radiation for simultaneous measurement of several physical properties on tiny samples at high pressures (see *Elements* vol. 2, no. 1: "User Research Facilities in Earth Sciences").

The fruits of these laboratory-based studies are numerous. A growing database of crystal structures, elastic properties, and rheologic properties (both theoretically and experimentally derived) can be compared with physical measurements of the planet. Just as importantly, groups from different disciplines are being drawn together in an effort to integrate their data. Finally, the technical developments in high-P–T research, initially aimed at understanding the operation of Earth's deep interior, are applicable to a broad range of materials research at extreme conditions, including investigations in the seemingly disparate fields of planetary science, materials chemistry, and condensed-matter physics.

Developments at synchrotron sources have proceeded apace due in no small measure to gains in brightness at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), at the 8 GeV Super Proton ring (Spring-8) in Japan, and at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) in the United States. Study of the Earth's interior necessarily requires high pressure, and as the pressure of an experiment increases, the size of the sample must be smaller. Sample volumes of 1 nanoliter held at a million bars of pressure (1 Mbar = 100 GPa) can be heated to several thousand degrees and examined using synchrotron radiation (Fig. 4). Innovations in high-pressure research have made this field a focus in the planning and development of new synchrotron sources.

#### **MODELS OF THE UPPER MANTLE**

The mineralogical composition of much of the upper 200 km of the mantle has long been thought to be equivalent to garnet lherzolite, which is composed of olivine, Capoor and Ca-rich pyroxenes, and pyrope-almandine garnet (FIG. 1). In the "pyrolite" mantle model, the olivine component of this lherzolite is about 55–60% (Ringwood 1975). As a working definition, pyrolite is an olivine-rich rock that,

Laser heated DAC setup for X-ray measurements



**FIGURE 4** Schematic diagram of a diamond anvil cell (DAC), with the sample heated from both sides with lasers. The inset photographs show the sample in the sample chamber of the DAC, with laser heating at right. These photographs show the almost-perfect coincidence of the synchrotron X-rays with the hot spot of the laser-heated sample. COURTESY OF G. SHEN

upon equilibrium partial melting, yields the great volumes of basalt that underlie the world's ocean basins. The composition of pyrolite is similar to the most fertile (i.e. the most garnet- and Ca-pyroxene-rich) olivine-rich mantle xenoliths found in kimberlites.

Frost (2008 this issue) examines the applicability of the pyrolite model to the upper mantle, including the transition zone. Key observations that must be explained by the model include the magnitude of the seismic velocity jumps at 410 and 660 km depth, the high seismic velocity gradients in the transition zone, and the sharpness of these discontinuities. Phase transformations in olivine clearly play a role in the 410 km and 660 km discontinuities. Frost also points to studies indicating that given the uncertainties in the elastic and thermal properties of the relevant phases, a homogeneous lherzolite model for the entire upper mantle is viable (Cammarano et al. 2005). However, we would emphasize, as Frost points out, that the transition zone is likely a complex heterogeneous region. In some places, subducting slabs lie on top of the 660 km discontinuity (FIG. 3), at least temporarily, and in other places they penetrate readily into the lower mantle. Although penetrating slabs are often taken as suggestive of large-scale whole-mantle mixing and homogenization (e.g. Grand et al. 1997), in our view it is possible that no single compositional model can adequately explain this complex transition zone. As Birch (1952) stated in his classic paper on the Earth's interior, "The transitional layer appears to hold the key to a number of major geophysical problems." Finding the key is not easy. Increasing the quality and global coverage of seismological datasets and combining them with mineral-physics data are clearly the way forward.

#### **Eclogite in the Upper Mantle?**

Eclogite is composed mainly of Ca-rich pyroxene and garnet. Some eclogites are the high-pressure equivalent of basalt. The occurrence of eclogite in kimberlites suggests its presence in the mantle, although the amount is uncertain. Eclogite has two notable properties compared with olivinerich lherzolites: a higher density (at depths less than 400 km) and a lower melting point. The high density may play a key role in the subduction of cold, dense oceanic plates through





**FIGURE 5** A Kawai-type multi-anvil apparatus at the Advanced Photon Source, ready for an ultrasonics experiment in which the elastic properties and density will be measured at high pressures and temperatures. In the bottom picture, the X-rays coming from the right are incident on a sample embedded in the center of 8 tungsten-carbide cubes (hidden in this photograph). See Bass et al. (2008 this issue) for additional details. AFTER LI ET AL. (2005)

the surrounding mantle and provide part of a gravitational driving force for slab-driven mantle convection. Moreover, in some compositional models of the upper mantle, the 410 km discontinuity represents a change in composition as well as phase changes, with the transition zone depleted in olivine relative to the pyrolite model and enriched in an eclogitic (garnet + pyroxene) component. This is reminiscent of early proposals by Birch (1952). Such models may provide a better explanation for the velocity jumps at 410 km depth and the gradients in the transition zone (Bass and Anderson 1984; Duffy et al. 1995). The low melting point of eclogite may play a role in some basaltic volcanism. Aspects of this issue are reviewed by Anderson (2007) and Foulger et al. (2005).

61



**FIGURE 6** Green laser light from an Ar-ion laser, incident on a sample in a DAC designed for observing Brillouin scattering. In this experiment, the wave velocities  $V_p$  and  $V_s$  will be measured at high pressure. Such measurements can now be done at pressures exceeding 1 Mbar and in conjunction with synchrotron X-radiation (J.M. Jackson and J.D. Bass)

#### Lower Mantle and Core

In their article on the lower mantle and core, Figuet et al. (2008 this issue) review in broad strokes our current understanding of this part of the planet. As they point out, the mantle and core should be considered together as a coupled system comprising the vast majority of Earth's mass. To constrain the composition of this system, one can consider the bulk composition of the Earth to be similar to the composition of volatile-rich chondritic meteorites, which presumably have not been extensively melted or differentiated. These authors discuss different models for the core and mantle, including a homogeneous lherzolite mantle and a relatively SiO<sub>2</sub>-rich lower mantle that would yield a Mg/Si ratio for the bulk Earth that is closer to that implied by meteorites (Matas et al. 2007). Models for the formation and composition of the core and lower mantle are presented, along with unresolved questions and key mineralphysics experiments that still need to be done to improve our understanding. Critical studies for the future include phase-equilibrium experiments to determine which elements dissolve into the core and which might give it a density matching that in Earth models such as PREM. Figuet et al. (2008) also describe a new class of experiments (inelastic X-ray scattering, in which sound velocities at high pressures are measured using synchrotron radiation, thus allowing comparisons with seismological results to infer a compositional model for the core. Experiments under the P-T conditions of the lowermost mantle or core are extremely difficult. Therefore, theoretical calculations of physical and chemical properties of materials have taken on particular importance in understanding these regions.

#### **STUDIES OF THE D" LAYER**

The D" layer is one of the most complex and seismically puzzling regions in the Earth's interior. High-resolution seismology is providing increasingly detailed pictures of shear wave splitting (two S-waves traveling at different speeds) and anisotropy, a highly variable D" discontinuity with a jump in velocities, ultralow velocity zones, and a variable V<sub>s</sub>/V<sub>p</sub> ratio (e.g. Garnero et al. 2004). One can eas-

ily imagine the core-mantle boundary as a zone of chemical reactions between the metallic core and the silicate mantle, with perhaps partial melting above the interface. Either of these mechanisms would cause a pronounced change (most likely a decrease) in seismic velocities. A third possible cause for the D" discontinuity is a phase transformation from (Mg,Fe)SiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite (Pv) to a "post-perovskite" (PPv) phase. Hirose and Lay (2008 this issue) present some historical context for the discovery of this phase transformation, which had long been anticipated on the basis of seismology, and discuss its implications. The transformation of perovskite to a post-perovskite structure was first discovered in experiments using a DAC (FIG. 4) on MgSiO<sub>3</sub> at a pressure of 120 GPa and a temperature of ~2500 K. Subsequent experimental work on more-complex and more-realistic chemical compositions demonstrated that this transformation should occur a couple of hundred kilometers above the core-mantle boundary. Theoretical and experimental studies further showed that the Pv-PPv transformation pressure is temperature dependent (that is, it has a positive Clapeyron slope). Thus, the depth of the Pv-PPv phase transformation has the potential to define the temperature just above the core-mantle boundary. Aside from explaining many of the observed seismic features of the D" layer, the Pv-PPv phase transformation is one of the few available means by which the thermal structure of the deepest mantle can be determined.

#### RHEOLOGY

Studies of the dynamics or flow of material within the Earth require knowledge of the strength and rheological properties of candidate mantle minerals. Despite the vast differences in timescales, or strain rates, between the flow of rocks in the Earth and in the laboratory, the understanding of the fundamental mechanisms that operate during flow and the judicious application of laboratory-based observations are opening windows on the way the mantle convects. Karato and Weidner (2008 this issue) review early studies of rock deformation and mention the exciting prospects for future developments using different types of high-pressure devices. The outlook for rheological measurements mirrors earlier developments in phase diagrams and elasticity. The advent of high-energy synchrotron X-ray sources facilitates new in situ observations that go beyond early work, which was mainly on olivine at lower-crustal pressures. The power of high-energy X-rays to penetrate stress-generating apparatuses allows simultaneous measurements of diffraction and direct imaging of the sample to define stress and strain. The ability to "dial in" stress states and then watch temporal variation of stress and strain at different temperatures provides information on the rate of plastic deformation. Coupling these observations with postmortem TEM investigation of the deformed samples provides constraints on the rheological properties of mantle minerals, at least to the pressures of the transition zone. Karato and Weidner (2008) also examine the prospects for extending existing approaches to the lower mantle, with an eye to all-important rheological measurements on MgSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite under the appropriate P–T conditions.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The science and technology of high-pressure mineralphysics research are advancing at an astonishing pace. Measurements of a wide variety of properties, only some of which are described in this issue, are possible under the actual P–T conditions that exist in the deepest parts of the Earth, and even at more extreme conditions. Mineral physics has led the way in understanding the properties of matter under extreme conditions, and the results of this research have had a significant impact in fields such as condensed matter physics and materials science. Our understanding of how the entire Earth system works is progressing rapidly, through the integration of results from mineral physics and seismology, petrology, geochemistry, and other disciplines in Earth science.

We hope that this issue conveys some of the excitement in the field of high-pressure mineral physics. We are now at a time when novel techniques and combinations of techniques using multiple in situ probes are coming online, and where collaborations between observational seismology and mineral physics are expanding, thus opening up unprecedented opportunities for understanding the deep Earth.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Thorne Lay, Don Anderson, James Badro, and two anonymous reviewers for their comments, and Adam Dziewonski, Guoyin Shen, Rob van der Hilst, Baosheng Li, and Jennifer Jackson for providing figures. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation.

#### REFERENCES

- Akaogi M, Ito E, Navrotsky A (1989) Olivine-modified spinel-spinel transitions in the system  $Mg_2SiO_4 - Fe_2SiO_4$ : Calorimetric measurements, thermochemical calculation, and geophysical application. Journal of Geophysical Research 94: 15671-15685
- Anderson DL (2007) New Theory of the Earth. Cambridge University Press, New York, 384 pp

Bass JD, Anderson DL (1984) Composition of the upper mantle: geophysical tests of two petrological models. Geophysical Research Letters 11: 229-232

Bass JD, Sinogeikin SV, Li B (2008) Elastic properties of minerals: A key for understanding the composition and temperature of Earth's interior. Elements 4: 165-170

- Birch F (1952) Elasticity and constitution of the Earth's interior. Journal of Geophysical Research 57: 227-286
- Cammarano F, Deuss A, Goes S, Giardini D (2005) One-dimensional physical reference models for the upper mantle and transition zone: combining seismic and mineral physical constraints. Journal of Geophysical Research 110: B01306, doi:10.1029/2004JB003272
- Duffy TS, Zha C-S, Downs RT, Mao H-K, Hemley RJ (1995) Elasticity of forsterite to 16 GPa and the composition of the upper mantle. Nature 378: 170-173

- Dziewonski AM, Anderson DL (1981) Preliminary reference Earth model. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 25: 297-356
- Fiquet G, Guyot F, Badro J (2008) The Earth's lower mantle and core. Elements 4: 177-182
- Foulger GR, Natland JH, Presnall DC, Anderson DL (editors) (2005). Plates, Plumes, and Paradigms. Geological Society of America Special Paper 388, Boulder, Colorado, 881 pp
- Frost DJ (2008) The upper mantle and transition zone. Elements 4: 171-176
- Garnero EJ, Maupin V, Lay T, Fouch MJ (2004) Variable azimuthal anisotropy in Earth's lowermost mantle. Science 306: 259-261
- Grand SP, van der Hilst RD, Widyantoro S (1997) Global seismic tomography, a snapshot of convection in the earth. Geological Society of America Today 7: 1-7
- Hirose K, Lay T (2008) Discovery of postperovskite and new views on the core-mantle boundary region. Elements 4: 183-189
- Karason H, van der Hilst RD (2000) Constraints on mantle convection from seismic tomography. In: Richards MR, Gordon R, van der Hilst RD (eds) The History and Dynamics of Global Plate Motion. American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph 212, pp. 277-288
- Karato SI, Weidner DJ (2008) Laboratory studies of the rheological properties of minerals under deep-mantle conditions. Elements 4: 191-196

- Katsura T, Ito E (1989) The system Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>-Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> at high pressures and temperatures: Precise determination of stabilities of olivine, modified spinel, and spinel. Journal of Geophysical Research 94: 15663-15670
- Li B, Kung J, Uchida T, Wang Y (2005) Simultaneous equation of state, pressure calibration and sound velocity measurements to lower mantle pressures using multi-anvil apparatus. In: Chen J, Wang Y, Duffy T, Shen G, Dobrzhinetskaya L (eds) Frontiers in High Pressure Research: Geophysical Applications. Elsevier Publications, New York, pp 49-66
- Matas J, Bass J, Ricard Y, Mattern E, Bukowinski MST (2007) On the bulk composition of the lower mantle: predictions and limitations from generalized inversion of radial seismic profiles. Geophysical Journal International 170: 764-780
- Ringwood AE (1975) Composition and Petrology of Earth's Mantle. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 618 pp
- Trampert J, Deschamps F, Resovsky J, Yuen D (2004) Probabilistic tomography maps chemical heterogeneities throughout the lower mantle. Science 306: 853-856
- Williamson ED, Adams LH (1923) Density distribution in the Earth. Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences 13: 413-428
- Woodhouse JH, Dziewonski AM (1989) Seismic modeling of the Earth's large-scale 3-dimensional structure. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A328: 291-308 ■

# **Attend the Meeting!**



15,000 researchers, teachers, students, and consultants to present and review the latest issues affecting all areas of the Earth and space sciences. Help shape the meeting and submit your session proposal today.

The 2008 AGU Fall Meeting will provide an opportunity for over

For complete Fall Meeting details, including abstract submission, registration, and housing information, please visit: *http://www.agu.org/meetings/fm08/* 

163

JUNE 2008



Toll Free Telephone: 800.832.0060 or visit our website at www.meijitechno.com

### From the Geological Society of London



•December 2007 •368 pages •Hardback

•Prices: List: £90.00/US\$180.00 GSL: £45.00/US\$90.00

PESGB/TMS: £54.00/US\$108.00

Online bookshop code: SP293

AAPG/SEPM/GSA/RAS/EFG/

•ISBN: 978-1-86239-200-7 (PB)

AAPG/SEPM/GSA/RAS/EFG/

•Prices: List: £35.00/US\$70.00 GSL: £27.50/US\$55.00

PESGB/TMS: £27.50/US\$55.00

Online bookshop code: GOEWP

September 2006 •586 pages •Paperback

**Lithospheric Mantle** Edited by M. Coltorti and M. Grégoire Twenty years have passed since Menzies & Hawkesworth extended the concept of metasomatism to mantle processes. The aim of this •ISBN: 978-1-86239-242-7

book is to gather together progress made on this topic since then. Most of the 14 papers reported in the volume rely on in situ major and trace element analyses of minerals and glasses in mantle xenoliths, and deal with different kinds of metasomatic agents at variable fluid/rock ratios in tectonic settings as different as intra-plate, mid-ocean ridge (ophiolites) and supra-subduction. The book contributes to the wide debate on the nature of the fluids migrating into the mantle wedge, as well as on the different residential times of the subduction signature. In addition papers on intra-plate settings deal with the problem of relating various metasomatic signatures to one single metasomatic event through an infiltration-reaction process.

Geological Society Special Publication 293: Metasomatism in Oceanic and Continental

• The Geology of Series: The Geology of England and Wales (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition)

#### Edited by P. J. Brenchley and P. F. Rawson

This second edition of The Geology of England and Wales is considerably expanded from its predecessor, reflecting the increase in our knowledge of the region, and particularly of the offshore areas. Forty specialists have contributed to 18 chapters, which cover a time range from 700 million years ago to 200 million years into the future. A new format places all the chapters in approximately temporal order. Both offshore and economic geology now form an integral part of appropriate chapters. Most of England and Wales is formed from part of a single terrane, Avalonia, and its pre-Cambrian (Neoproterozoic) history is preserved in patches. However the time intervals from the Cambrian to the present day are well represented in our sequences and the Cambrian, Ordovician, Silurian and Devonian systems were all defined here. William Smith's map of England and Wales was the world's first geological map of a country and the British Geological Survey's copy is reproduced in the introductory chapter. This chapter, by the editors, consists of a broad overview aimed particularly at the non-specialist while guiding the reader towards the appropriate succeeding chapters.

Postage: UK: +5% (£4.00 minimum) Europe: +15% (£8.00 minimum) Rest of world: +15% (£12.50 minimum) All prices and postage valid until 31 December 2008. Please allow up to 28 days for delivery of in stock items in the UK. Parcels to Europe and Rest of World are sent by surface mail and can take 6 to 12 weeks to arrive. (Air or courier rates available on request). Please order from: Geological Society Publishing House, Unit 7 Brassmill Enterprise Centre, Brassmill Lane, Bath BA1 3JN, UK Tel: +44 (0)1225 445046 Fax: +44 (0)1225 442836 Email: sales@geolsoc.org.uk Online bookshop: www.geolsoc.org.uk/bookshop Society Web Site: www.geolsoc.org.uk

164

For full details see the Online Bookshop: www.geolsoc.org.uk/bookshop



The Geological Society's Lyell Collection: journals, Special Publications and books online. For more information visit www.geolsoc.org.uk/LyellCollection